



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/670,388

09/26/2003

Beatrice Toumi

05725.1243-00000

2739

22852

7590

07/10/2008

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP

901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

MERCIER, MELISSA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1615

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/10/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10670388	9/26/2003	TOUMI ET AL.	05725.1243-00000

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER
LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

EXAMINER

MELISSA S. MERCIER

ART UNIT**PAPER**

1615

20080703-A

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on April 17, 2008 is not fully responsive to the prior office action because of the following omissions or matters: the double patenting rejection has not been addressed. Applicant has requested that the rejection be held in abeyance until there is an indication of allowable subject matter in this application (see Section E, page 35), however, according to 37 CFR 1.111, it is improper to request a rejection be held in abeyance. 37CFR 1.111 also states, the reply by the application or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiners action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied reference. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. Since the above mentioned reply appears to be bonafide, applicant is given one (1) month or thirty (30) days from the mailing of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. Extensions of this time period may be granted under 37CFR1.136(a).

/MP WOODWARD/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615